

Concept Map Scoring Rubric for Understanding of an Engineering Field*

J. Gerchak, M. Besterfield-Sacre**, L. J. Shuman, H. Wolfe

	<i>1</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>3</i>
<i>Comprehensiveness</i> – covering completely/broadly	The map lacks subject definition; the knowledge is very simple and/or limited. Limited breadth of concepts (i.e. minimal coverage of coursework, little or no mention of employment, and/or lifelong learning). The map barely covers some of the qualities of the subject area.	The map has adequate subject definition but knowledge is limited in some areas (i.e., much of the coursework is mentioned but one or two of the main aspects are missing). Map suggests a somewhat narrow understanding of the subject matter.	The map completely defines the subject area. The content lacks no more than one extension area (i.e., most of the relevant extension areas including lifelong learning, employment, people, etc. are mentioned).
<i>Organization</i> – to arrange by systematic planning and united effort	The map is arranged with concepts only linearly connected. There are few (or no) connections within/between the branches. Concepts are not well integrated.	The map has adequate organization with some within/between branch connections. Some, but not complete, integration of branches is apparent. A few feedback loops may exist.	The map is well organized with concept integration and the use of feedback loops. Sophisticated branch structure and connectivity.
<i>Correctness</i> - conforming to or agreeing with fact, logic, or known truth	The map is naïve and contains misconceptions about the subject area; inappropriate words or terms are used. The map documents an inaccurate understanding of certain subject matter.	The map has few subject matter inaccuracies; most links are correct. There may be a few spelling and grammatical errors.	The map integrates concepts properly and reflects an accurate understanding of subject matter meaning little or no misconceptions, spelling/grammatical errors.

* Supported by the NSF EEC-9872498 *Engineering Education: Assessment Methodologies And Curricula Innovations*

** For information, contact Mary Besterfield-Sacre (mbsacre@pitt.edu); 1040 Benedum Hall, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15261.